SCOTT BAUGH

VIA Facsimile & First Class Mail

August 18, 2010

Federal Elections Commission c/o Kathleen Guith Acting Associate General Counsel Enforcement 999 E. Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20463

any of the individuals listed above.

Re: MUR 6279

Dear Ms. Gulth,

OFFICE OF CENTRAL

Pursuant to your undated letter received by me on August 2, 2010, I am responding on behalf of U.S. Dry Cleaning Corp., Robert Lee, Regina Lee, Tim Denari, Mary Denari, Riaz Chauthani, and Donna Chauthani. This letter is in response to your invitation for an amplification of our earlier response to Ms. Collins that no action should be taken against U.S. Dry Cleaning Corp. or

As stated in my previous Intter to Ms. Colline, Robert Lee, Tim Denari, Riaz Chauthani and Mr. Ogbe all worked for U.S Dry Cleaning Services Corporation. Each one of these individuals, along with each of their spouses, made a \$4,800 contribution to Senator David Vitter's campaign on or about August 20, 2009.

Mssrs. Lee, Denari, Chauthani and Ogbe, at the relevant times, were senior employees in management at U.S. Dry Cleaning Services Corporation. Each of them was owed significant amounts of money in back wages. When funds became available to make progress on back wages, the Company wrote checks to these employees. The funds paid to these employees were earned wages. Moreover, the funds paid out reduced the amount that the Company owed to these individuals.

Enclosed with this letter is an affidavit from Stacy Galeano. She is the Manager of the Accounts Payable Department at U.S. Dry Cleaning Corp. Attached to her affidavit are four schedules that are printed from the accounting system at U.S. Dry Cleaning Corp. They are listed as "Transaction List by Vendor." Each employee is a separate vendor. The documents are from January 2009 through August 2009.

As you can see from each "Transaction List" there is a balance owing to each employee in January. For example, Robert Lee is owed in January of 2009. Each morth he had

SCOTT BAUGH

a salary and other benefits owed to him by the Company that would accumulate and be added to the total owell to him. At you can also see, numerous payments were made to him as well under the "suspense" extragery. These items were indirected from wiret was oracle to him. If you gotto the end of july, you will see that the Company wend hir. Lee:

In the month of August, he recursulated an additional

In maney ound to him for calary and benefits. You will also see that he was paid 5 suspense items totaling

which amount was reduced from what the Company owed Mr. Lee. Included in this

amount is a suspense check for \$9,600 which likewise reduced the amount owed to Mr. Lee by the Company.

The same analysis applies to Mr. Jamai Ogbe, Tim Denari, and Riaz Chauthani as revealed in the Transaction List by Vendor applicable to those employees. In point of fact, you will see that in all court a negative number still existed for each employee. The meaning of this be quite clear. The employees were neither "reinzigurand" for the transaction, pur were they given "advance" meany for the transaction to Seamer Vitter's company. Rether, they were each provided money that was owed to them by the Company.

In short, Mr. Ogus is estaged to have concluded that he was reinbursed for the contribution he made to Sensitor Vitter's campuign. Mr. Ogbe is misguided in his understanding if that is in fact what he claims. He was simply paid back wages that were owed to him as reflected in the enclosed accounting.

Thank you for the opportunity to amplify our response, and let ma know if I can provide you with any further information.

Sincerely.

Scott R. Baugh

w/enclosure